



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,215	07/11/2001	Sergio Diaz De Leon	PGI6044P0181US	9964
32116	7590	07/25/2005	EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			BOYD, JENNIFER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/903,215

Applicant(s)

DE LEON ET AL.

Examiner

Jennifer A. Boyd

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 May 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-21 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-21 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. The Applicant's Amendments and Accompanying Remarks, filed May 4, 2005, have been entered and have been carefully considered. Claims 12 and 19 are amended and claims 12 – 21 and 24 are pending. In view of Applicant's statement of common ownership, the Examiner withdraws the previously set forth rejection as detailed in paragraph 3 of the Office Action dated December 1, 2004. In view of Applicant's amendment requiring that the nonwoven fabric is dried to stabilize and enhance the retention of the three-dimensional image, the Examiner withdraws all previously set forth rejections as detailed in paragraph 4 of the Office Action dated December 1, 2004. After another search was conducted, additional prior art has been found which renders in the invention as currently claimed unpatentable for reasons herein below.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. Claims 12 – 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch et al. (US 6,022,818) in view of James et al. (US 5,674,587).

Welch is directed to hydroentangled nonwoven composites (Title) useful as a fluid management component in personal care absorbent articles such as diapers, training pants, incontinence garments, feminine hygiene products, bandages, wipes and the like (column 1, lines 10 – 23).

As to claims 12 and 19, Welch teaches a composite as shown in Figure 2. Welch teaches that the composite comprises three layers: top sheet 102, bottom sheet 104 and second top sheet 105 (column 5, lines 35 – 40). The Examiner equates second top sheet to Applicant's "first fibrous layer" and "liquid-acceptance layer", the top sheet to Applicant's "second fibrous layer" and "liquid-distribution layer" and bottom sheet to Applicant's "third fibrous layer". Welch teaches that the second top sheet, or "first fibrous layer", contains essentially matrix fibers (column 5, lines 55 – 60). Welch teaches that the matrix fibers can comprise staple or continuous fibers made from rayon, polyolefins and polyesters (column 2, lines 48 – 55). Welch teaches that the top sheet, or "second fibrous layer", comprises two regions: region 106 and region 108 (See Figure 2). Welch teaches that region 106 comprises essentially matrix fibers and region 108 comprises a mixture of absorbent fibers and nonwoven matrix fibers (column 5, lines 50 – 60). Welch notes that the matrix fibers may include several types of fibers such as blends of polyolefins and polyester fibers (column 2, lines 48 – 55). The Examiner equates the matrix fibers to Applicant's "fibers" of the "first fibrous layer". Welch additionally notes that the top sheet, or "second fibrous layer", can comprise bicomponent matrix fibers so that they can be subjected to a heating process to bond the top sheet and bottom sheet together (column 5, lines 40 – 50). The Examiner equates the matrix fibers to Applicants "(1) fibers" and the bicomponent matrix fibers to Applicant's "(2) heat-fusible fiber". Welch teaches that the composite can be hydraulic entangled (column 8, lines 15 – 35).

As to claim 13, Welch teaches that the bottom sheet, or Applicant's "third fibrous layer" and "liquid-retention layer", comprises a layer of absorbent fibers (column 5, lines 40 –

Art Unit: 1771

45). Welchel teaches that the absorbent fibers comprise wood pulp fibers and rayon (column 4, lines 35 – 45).

As to claim 14, Welchel teaches that the bottom sheet, or Applicant's "third fibrous layer" and "liquid-retention layer", comprises greater than or equal about 90 percent absorbent fibers (column 4, lines 65 – 69 and column 5, lines 1 – 10). Therefore, Welchel teaches that 0 – 10% of the bottom sheet can comprise matrix fibers such as rayon, polyolefins and polyesters (column 5, lines 50 – 60).

As to claims 15 – 16 and 20 – 21, Welchel teaches that the bottom sheet, or Applicant's "third fibrous layer" and "liquid-retention layer", can comprise, in addition to the absorbent fibers, superabsorbents (column 3, lines 35 – 40). Welchel notes that the superabsorbent materials may be added to the composite fabric before the fluid-jet treatments and should remain inactive during the water-jet treatment and activated at a later time (column 9, lines 35 – 45).

As to claims 17 and 24, Welchel teaches that the composite fabric may be brushed to provide a uniform exterior appearance and/or certain tactile properties (column 9, lines 20 – 30).

As to claim 18, it should be noted that upon hydroentanglement by nature, a plurality of apertures will be formed in the composite.

As to claims 12 and 19, Welchel fails to teach the precursor web is positioned on a three-dimensional image transfer device having a foraminous forming surface defining an array of surface depressions and hydroentangling the web so that the web is imaged and patterned on the image transfer device. Welchel fails to teach that the fabric as a result will have an array of upstanding projections extending above a network of liquid-accepting channels corresponding to

Art Unit: 1771

the array of surface depressions defined by a foraminous forming surface. Welchel fails to teach that the nonwoven fabric is dried at an elevated temperature to stabilize and enhance the retention of the three-dimensional image.

James is directed to an apparatus for making nonwoven fabrics having raised portions (Title). James teaches a web or layers of fibers or a lightly entangled fibrous web is placed on a forminous forming plate or topographical support member comprising an essentially planar background surface of the forming plate. The support member with the fibrous web thereon is passed through a series of orifices from each of which a fluid, such as water, is ejected under high pressure and directed toward the upper surface of the fibrous web. The preferred liquid is water. The fabric is dewatered and then dried (column 3, lines 40 – 65 and column 8, lines 40 – 65). It is the position of the Examiner that drying would require an elevated temperature and would inherently stabilize the nonwoven fabric.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a patterned image on the surface of composite of Welchel with the three-dimensional image transfer device of James motivated by the desire to create a nonwoven web having an increased dry feel and improved softness suitable for absorbent articles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dry to stabilize the nonwoven as suggested by James in the web of Welchel motivated by the desire to create a final product with a stabilized three-dimensional image on the surface.

Art Unit: 1771

As to claims 12, 14 and 19, Welch in view of James discloses the claimed invention except for that the first fibrous layer has a basis weight of about 0.5 to 1.5 ounces per square yard, the second fibrous layer has a fiber denier of about 6 to 18 and the second fibrous layer has a basis weight of about 0.5 to 1.0 ounces per square yard as required by claim 12, the third fibrous layer has a denier of about 6 to 18 as required by claim 14 and the patterned nonwoven has an absorbent capacity, as a percentage of fabric weight to thickness ratio of at least 6.7 as required by claim 19. It should be noted that the fiber denier, basis weight and absorbency capacity as a percentage of fabric weight to thickness ratio are a result effective variable. For example, as the fiber denier decreases, the nonwoven becomes softer but less durable. As the basis weight increases, the nonwoven becomes stronger but less pliable and soft. As the fabric weight to thickness ratio increases, the fabric becomes heavier and more pliable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the first fibrous layer having basis weight of about 0.5 to 1.5 ounces per square yard, the second fibrous layer has a fiber denier of about 6 to 18 and the second fibrous layer has a basis weight of about 0.5 to 1.0 ounces per square yard as required by claim 12, the third fibrous layer has a denier of about 6 to 18 as required by claim 14 and the patterned nonwoven has an absorbent capacity, as a percentage of fabric weight to thickness ratio of at least 6.7 as required by claim 19 since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the present invention, one would have been motivated to optimize the denier, basis weight and the fabric weight to thickness ratio to create an appropriately soft, pliable and strong nonwoven composite.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 – 21 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Boyd whose telephone number is 571-272-1473. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).




Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Jennifer Boyd  
July 19, 2005

  
**Ula C. Ruddock**  
Primary Examiner  
Tech Center 1700